UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Victor Roman-Campo	Case Number: _	11-6477M
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on September 8, 2011. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of the defendant using numer	ous aliases.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcer	ment contact by fle	eeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	ye	ears imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Courat the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will fle No condition or combination of conditions will re DIRECTIONS REG fendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne	ee. easonably assure t ARDING DETENT	TON
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United Si defendant to th	cility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunit tates or on request of an attorney for the Governm e United States Marshal for the purpose of an ap APPEALS AND THI	sons awaiting or se ty for private consu- nent, the person in opearance in conn RD PARTY RELE	rving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ection with a court proceeding.
IT IS O deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial	order be filed with Services at least of	the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS FI Services suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third patently in advance of the hearing before the Distripotential third party custodian.	orty is to be conside ct Court to allow F	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED this 9 th day of September, 2011.			
	Jour Sur	-	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge